



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,657	12/05/2005	Mihoko Ohashi	Q91414	7239
23373 7590 12/17/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037				
EXAMINER HU, HENRY S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1796				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/17/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/559,657

Applicant(s)

OHASHI ET AL.

Examiner

HENRY S. HU

Art Unit

1796

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Pre-Amendment of January 23, 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-5-2005
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Individual Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

1. It is noted that Applicants' **two Pre-Amendments** and **one IDS** (1 page) are filed so far. This Application is a **371/PCT/JP04/007179** with a Japanese priority at June 5, 2003. With such two pre-amendments, Claims 3-5, 7 and 12 are amended to only remove the improper multiple claim dependency; new Claims 14-15 are added, while no claim is cancelled. **Claims 1-15 with a total of five independent claims** (Claims 1, 8, 9, 10 and 11) are now pending. An action follows. (see international search report in Applicants' priority paper **WO 2004/108772 A1 to Ohashi et al.**)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1, this is based on the preliminary search done by the examiner as well as by examining the references cited in international search report and IDS filed by Applicants.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted as following: It is noted that **five independent claims are marked with an underline**

I. **Claims 1-6**, drawn to **a curable surface modifier (a sub-composition)** comprising **a curable fluorine-containing resin (I)** which is soluble in some solvent(s) and comprises **a fluorine-containing ethylenic polymer (IAB)** having a moiety A and a moiety B as specified.

II. **Claim 7**, drawn to **a surface-modified antireflection film of multi-layer structure** which comprises an antireflection film and a continuous or discontinuous cured film of the curable surface modifier composition of Group I which is formed directly on the antireflection film.

III. **Claims 8-9**, drawn to **a curable composition** for surface modification which is crosslinkable with active energy rays and comprises a fluorine-containing ethylenic polymer (IAB) having a moiety A and a moiety B as specified. Independent Claim 9 relates to the composition of independent Claim 8 but requires the addition of some organic solvent(s).

IV. **Claims 10 and 12-13**, drawn to **an antireflection film** (Claim 10) and its process of using (Claims 12-13) to form a cured article. The film is obtained by applying, on a substrate, a composition for forming an antireflection film which comprises **a fluorine-containing resin (II)** as specified.

V. **Claims 11 and 14-15**, drawn to **a curable resin composition** (Claim 11) and its process of using (Claims 14-15) to form a cured article. The composition comprises **a curable fluorine-containing resin (III)** as specified.

3. Where the group of inventions is claimed in one and the same international application, the requirement for unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression “**special technical features**” shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions considered as a whole, **makes over the prior art**. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, although they share the special technical feature, this special technical feature does not define a contribution over the prior art for the following reasons:

4. In view of Examiner’s own prior art search as well as the references or articles cited in one **IDS** filed so far by Applicants, **Claims 1-15** is either obvious or anticipated by following: **JP 10-212325 to Masayuki et al., JP 2001-200019 to Jun, and JP 2000-017028 to Fusaka et al.**, each individually or in combination (see international search report in Applicants’ priority paper **WO 2004/108772 A1 to Ohashi et al.**). In summary, these five groups have no common features in the preparation as well as its application since they are structurally different. The scope of the claims, i.e., the metes and boundaries are distinct. Accordingly, the special

Art Unit: 1796

technical feature linking the inventions, the curable fluorinated resin for each group does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single general inventive concept exists.

Therefore the restriction is appropriate.

5. With respect to the fact that “all groups are structurally different each other”, Groups I, II, III, IV and V was each drawn to a different product such as a curable surface modifier (a sub-composition comparing to composition in Group III).

Although the subject matter from each group may comprise the same or at least similar type crosslinkable or curable fluororesin, its structure, function and application are indeed different. Particularly Group III is a composition of a curable surface modifier sub-composition. They are thereby not interchangeable.

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above shown as different subject matters and the search required for each group is not required for other groups have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

7. It is noted that no phone call was made to **Abraham J. Rosner (registration # 33,276, tel: 202 293-7060)** by the examiner to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to the complexity on multiple **(five)** distinct groups along with **five** independent

Art Unit: 1796

claims. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Dr. Henry S. Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1103**. The examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM –5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax number for the

Art Unit: 1796

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **(571) 273-8300** for all regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Peter D. Mulcahy/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Henry S. Hu/
Examiner, Art Unit 1796

December 12, 2008